

Study Meeting #31
Monday, September 17, 2007, 7:00 p.m.
Bloomington Civic Plaza
1800 West Old Shakopee Road
Bloomington, Minnesota 55431-3027

Call to Order

Mayor Gene Winstead called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call

Present: Mayor Winstead, Councilmembers R. Axtell, S. Elkins, A. Grady, K. Nordstrom, S. Peterson and V. Wilcox.

Absent: No one.

ITEM #6.1
Nine-Mile Watershed
District – Status
Update Culvert Project

Scott Anderson, Bloomington Senior Civil Engineer, presented an update on the status of the Culvert Replacement Project. He explained that in 2003 the City petitioned the Nine-Mile Watershed District for repair or replacement of six culverts along Nine-Mile Creek in Bloomington. The culvert south of West 102nd Street owned by Soo Line Railroad Company and operated by Progressive Rail, Inc. was not included under the project due to property ownership, rail operations and cost issues. Anderson explained that City Staff and the Watershed District have attempted to work with Progressive Rail and the owners to move forward with a project to address obvious structural deficiencies with the culvert. To date, the railroad companies have declined to pursue or ask to participate in a project. The railroad agency has the opinion that the culvert is sufficient for their uses. The Watershed District and the City remain concerned with upstream flooding impacts due to collapse of the culvert. Anderson introduced Kevin Bigalke from the Nine-Mile Creek Watershed District and said he would be presenting the results of their analysis of this culvert.

Bigalke said he would like to provide the Council with an update as to where they are at and with some of their concerns. This railroad culvert was not replaced because of the cost and because it is on private property. The railroad does not feel that the culvert needs to be replaced so they have not pursued it. Bigalke indicated that the Watershed District is concerned with the structural integrity of the culvert itself. They met with the railroad company last winter and early in the spring to get a sense of their position and feeling of the integrity of the culvert. The railroad company provided them with a non-bias summary of inspection but did not provide them with the entire report. The railroad feels the culvert is structurally sound to meet their needs. Bigalke said the District was still concerned so they conducted their own analysis as to the type of damages that would occur if the culvert failed. This analysis would also help them determine how hard they should push the railroad to fund replacement of this culvert. It was found that the potential damages for a culvert collapse are significantly more than what the cost would be to replace or repair the culvert.

Bigalke presented an overview of the District's analysis indicating that the analysis shows that during a 100 year flood event with the stream blocked for seven days and if the culvert collapsed, the amount of flooding from 102nd Street to Marsh Lake would result in approximately 91 homes experiencing some level of flooding. Two or three homes would have experienced 11 feet of flooding. The valuation of the potential damage would be \$26 million. They also found that there would be some events that would not be as extreme. If they had a 100 year storm event and the stream was blocked for three days, they would still be looking at 79 structures being damaged for a cost of \$20 million to \$22 million. Bigalke explained that the District Managers shared this information with the railroad and the railroad showed them their inspection report and did not seem concerned about the amount of potential damage. The Watershed District did decide to do an independent inspection on the integrity of the culvert and contracted with Braun Intertec to do the inspection. Braun identified a number of cracks and basically said there were five points they felt needed to be addressed including reconstruction of the broken headwall; removal and replacement of the southeast and northwest wing walls; replacement or rehabilitation of the cast-in-place

**ITEM #6.1
(continued)**

concrete floor; placement of scour protection to prevent undermining of the structure and regarding of the soil slopes and placement of erosion control along creek slopes. Bigalke said that as a follow up, they met with Braun to see what their real impression was of the culvert and if they had any concerns as to whether or not the culvert is going to collapse and if there are any integrity issues that need to be addressed. The District asked for a follow-up analysis. They are waiting for that information and once they have the results of the follow-up analysis they will provide that information to the railroad and it will be up to the railroad to make the improvements unless the City or Watershed District want to pursue it.

Axtell asked what it would cost to replace the culvert. Anderson responded that it would cost approximately \$1 million to \$1.2 million to replace it. Peterson asked what similarities this culvert has to the West 78th Street culvert the City replaced. Anderson explained that the culverts are very different. The deficiencies in the 78th Street culvert were that it was completely rusted out and there was no way to test the structural integrity. Winstead pointed out that there is no issue or problem with the water flow but with the culvert itself and its structural integrity. Bigalke said there is some issue with the wing walls being down and causing erosion.

Peterson said that in other situations regarding the railroad, the City used their Police power as a means to convince the railroad to do something. He asked if the potential of this issue has risen to that level. Bigalke said that is one of the things they have talked about and thinks the City could do that. They may get into a court situation and the local precedence may not carry much weight on the railroad because they are under Federal regulation. Peterson said his personal view is that they need to act in some way. Winstead said it appears that something will happen in the future and they are better off to be thinking in advance and doing something now to prevent a crisis.

In response to a question from Bernhardson, Bigalke said that this is a culvert and the railroad does not want to be shut down to do the work so they may want to consider doing an insert inside the existing culvert. Bernhardson asked what the estimate cost would be to do the rehab. Bigalke responded that the initial numbers were \$300,000 to \$500,000. This was prior to receiving Braun's report. Bernhardson asked if the District is looking to pick up the full cost of the culvert. Bigalke explained that several of the Board members feel that the District should not be the only ones paying for it because it is a private structure. The hold up with the railroad appears to be the cost and they want to make sure it is functional. Right now, the railroad does not have the money to replace the culvert.

Bernhardson suggested that staff look at this from both a legal and financial standpoint. This is a private entity but it is not worth the kind of risk they are talking about. He recommended that the Council table this item to allow staff time to further explore all of the issues.

Peterson said he would have a tough time paying for this improvement because it is the railroad's culvert. If the economics of the rail line does not work to repair the culvert, then they shouldn't have a rail line there. This problem is of the railroad's own making. Wilcox said he concurs with Peterson and suggested that they consider going to their legislative delegation and explain the problem and perhaps they will appropriate money for this project. Someone will want to do something before this culvert fails. Winstead said he does not think there is concern for personal injury or loss of life but the biggest concern is for damage caused if the culvert clogs and water backs up.

Bernhardson said staff needs to better understand both the legal and financial issues involved with this project and to see where they are and what their options are. Bigalke said the Watershed District wanted to get the City's perspective on this issue and to determine if this is something they could work jointly with the City on. Public safety is the major concern.

Peterson said he would like to see a report from staff within the next month as to what legal strategies they have to move forward with this project. Wilcox said he agrees and feels they need to discuss this further now that they are aware of the problem.

**STUDY ITEM #2
Health Insurance**

Kent Michaelson, Human Resources Director, presented an overview of the City's medical insurance program. He indicated that he would like to receive Council direction as to where they would like to set the contribution level for employee contribution for 2008. Staff would come back to the Council at a regular meeting requesting formal action on this item. Michaelson explained that the City has a three-year agreement with Health Partners. Health Partners has been the sole provider for those three years and the rate increase was capped for 2008 at 12 percent plus legislative mandates. A 1.23 percent increase has been added for 2008 because of a legislative mandate. Employees who have dependents under age 25 can insure them until they are 25. They are estimating a 1.23 percent increase on top of the 12 percent maximum for taking on those people. There is a 13 percent cap for 2009 plus legislative mandates. Any action the Council takes will be setting the contribution level for 364 unrepresented individuals. Michaelson further explained that they have in place with the unions that the contribution level set for the unrepresented individuals will follow to the unions next year. There are 540 regular full-time employees under the health insurance program. Michaelson stated that the maximum employer contribution for 2007 is \$870 a month. Staff has budgeted a 12.1 percent increase for 2008 or a \$105 increase per month.

Michaelson presented a slide presentation showing that the total health insurance premium is approximately \$5 million per year. Without the 12.8 percent cap, they would be looking at a 20 to 22 percent increase. Axtell asked if the City is obligated to a three-year contract and if they are locked into the City's contribution of \$975 per employee. Michaelson responded that they do have to honor the three-year contract and the amount the employer contributes is still up in the air. That is what is budgeted. Nordstrom indicated that Health Partners does not contribute towards a health club membership for its members. She asked if this is something the City could ask for. Michaelson explained that some Health Partners will pay \$20 a month if the member goes to the health club a certain number of times that month. They have different relationships with different members. The City pays \$150 per year for an employee if they continue a membership at a bona fide health club. The City is trying to get more into health and wellness and will be asking the Council to authorize employees to take a health assessment. In order to do this, they need some type of incentive and staff is recommending that the employee be offered \$25 to complete that assessment. Michaelson further explained that Health Partners would give \$5,000 to spend for health assessment and promotions.

Bernhardson stated that the \$975 a month employer contribution is what staff budgeted. With the legislative mandates, they are recommending a 13 percent increase. Council can decide if they want to go lower or higher. Wilcox said he believes the next couple of years will be a very tumultuous time for health insurance costs.

Peterson said it seems like the two pieces before the Council are to continue the cost sharing and to decide if they want to take the economic responsibility for the legislative mandates. Peterson said he feels their best approach is to continue their policy of sharing the cost 50/50. He stated that if they get into the business of agreeing to take all of the responsibility for legislative mandates, he worries about getting into a situation where the increased cost doesn't fall equally on the City or the employee. This all comes out of the economic package for compensating employees. Peterson said he prefers to see the City go with the 12.1 percent number which continues their cost sharing policy. Wilcox said this may also put some pressure on the legislature when they hear from people that are not getting raises that they don't want all of these things.

Axtell said the question he has is from a competitive standpoint and he wanted to make sure that they are consistent with comparable employers in this area. In looking at the family-share rate, it looks like they are covering 60 percent to 65 percent of the premium. For single coverage, they are covering 100 percent and for two person it is closer to 90 percent. Elkins asked what the total contribution will cost the City next year. Michaelson answered that the premium is about \$5 million and the annual payroll is about \$30 million. Axtell said he is not opposed to the increase but he is not sure whether or not, from a comparable standpoint, is this a fair and competitive adjustment. Bernhardson responded that in terms of the private industry, they are not headed in the same

**STUDY ITEM #2
(continued)**

direction but are closer or comparable in the municipal market or even a little bit above that. Michaelson said they also use this as a recruiting tool and very much a retention tool. Bernhardson pointed out that Bloomington has always been a little higher in compensation and he feels they compete very well with other municipalities.

Michaelson said he would bring to the Council for approval a proposal for a \$105 increase at 12.1 percent for the maximum employer contribution for health insurance for 2008.

**STUDY ITEM #3
Freedom to Breathe
Ordinance**

City Attorney Dave Ornstein reported that the Legislature enacted the Freedom to Breathe Act which goes into effect on October 1, 2007. The Bloomington ordinance differs in some respects from State law. He explained that ordinance provisions that are less stringent than the State law must be amended to conform to the Act. Local regulations that are stricter than State law may remain in effect unless the City Council decides otherwise. Ornstein further explained that there are three different areas where the State law is more stringent than Bloomington's ordinance and the way it is interpreted, Bloomington's ordinance would need to be amended wherever it is less strict than State law. There are also some provisions involving banning of smoking in outdoor areas where the State did not regulate and it is up to the City Council to decide whether they want to conform to the State law or maintain a stricter provision. Ornstein said that State law is stricter than Bloomington's ordinance in the definition of workplace and also smoking is prohibited in a motor vehicle. The City needs to modify those areas in their ordinance to match State law. Also, they need to expand the definition of place of employment. Ornstein stated that staff is recommending that the Council amend the ordinance to expand the definition of work place. After the original ordinance adoption, the City Council adopted an ordinance for smoking shelters. Bloomington will have to amend their ordinance to be as stringent as State law; consequently, the ordinance provision authorizing a smoking shelter that can be enclosed up to 75 percent should be modified to permit enclosure not exceeding 50 percent. State law also provides for exemptions that the City's ordinance does not include. Ornstein pointed out that State law exempts the smoking ban to include participants in scientific studies; tobacco products shops and smoking by performers in theatrical productions if advance notice is given to patrons. The City ordinance does not contain these exemptions. Ornstein said staff is asking for feedback from the City Council as to whether or not they want to include any of the exemptions in the City's ordinance even though they don't have to because it is not more strict. And, State law does not regulate smoking in outdoor areas. He asked if the Council wants to conform to State law or leave the City's ordinance as it is. Also, the penalty for violating the provisions of the Act is a petty misdemeanor and Bloomington's ordinance is a misdemeanor.

Peterson said he would recommend that they amend the City ordinance to mirror the State law on all items except for prohibiting smoking in outdoor areas. He would like the City's ordinance to remain as is on that item. Elkins said he agrees with Peterson's recommendation. Winstead directed the City Attorney to bring back to the City Council an ordinance that reflects these changes appropriately. Grady said it is important that the public know how the City's ordinance differs from State regulations. Ornstein said something could be put in the Bloomington Sun and also on the City's website explaining the differences.

**STUDY ITEM #4 –
Utility Billing RFP
Update**

Lori Economy-Scholler, CFO, introduced the main project players explaining that for the past 18 months they have been working on the replacement of a new Utility Billing/Customer Information System. Hal Busch, IS Project Coordinator, presented an overview of the process. He indicated that they have identified Cogsdale Corporation as the company for the acquisition and implementation of a new system. Busch indicated that staff is asking for Council authorization to bring a

recommendation to the September 24 Council meeting authorizing the City to begin negotiations with Cogsdale for the acquisition of the system.

**STUDY ITEM #4
(continued)**

Winstead asked if the City is in a position for a central billing system for the various things residents purchase from the City. He asked if there is a possibility of having one statement from the City for the services they provide their residents. Bernhardson responded that there are not a lot of annual things they bill residents for. Just about everything is transactional and is not done on an annual basis.

Bernhardson explained that this system does link the financials with all of the GIS information. Elkins asked if it would integrate with the General Ledger as well. Economy-Scholler said it would be easy to connect to the General Ledger system. It is a robust Microsoft product and they may even consider this system for the City's financial system.

Axtell asked if they are going to create a return on their investment or is the return customer service. He asked if they will be able to save staff time. Economy-Scholler responded that they are still negotiating the contract and until they implement the system they will not know how much staff time they will save. Axtell questioned if this system will allow staff to gauge variances in water usage. Karen McRae, Customer Billing Supervisor, responded that the new system will allow them increased ability to monitor water usage more easily.

Grady asked what other cities the size of Bloomington are using this system. Busch explained that Cogsdale has 190 customers that vary in size. There are two local cities that are on this system, Grand Rapids and Owatonna. Owatonna is implementing their electrical system. Staff will also be visiting two other cities that are larger than Bloomington who are currently using the Cogsdale system. Grady asked if funding is available or if this is a new initiative they need to fund. Economy-Scholler stated that money has been set aside for this project over the last five years and those funds have been carried forward every year.

Wilcox pointed out that there were three proposals that were very close to \$700,000 and staff reviewed 12 proposals, rejecting seven of those proposals. He asked if they received bids from them also. Busch said those seven proposals were all over the place. The vendors checked with the City before they submitted their proposals and felt their product was cost prohibitive. The vendor will be allowed to add back in a negotiated amount. Wilcox said he gets nervous when they allow a bidder to add back in certain items. Busch responded that one of the things they found when they went to one of the more recent customers is what they were charged and what was added. Economy-Scholler explained that they anticipate adding more for training and testing. Wilcox questioned if they were comparing apples to apples and if they considered what had to be added in during this comparison. Busch explained that they did and they would have had to add to all of the proposals.

The Council concurred that staff should bring a formal request to the September 24 meeting for authorization to negotiate a contract with Cogsdale Corporation.

**STUDY ITEM #5
- Normandale Lake
- Airport South**

Larry Lee, Community Development Director, and Bob Sharlin, Senior Planner, were present to discuss the Transportation and Land Use Study for Normandale Lake and Airport South. Lee explained that this study item addresses land use and local circulation options on the east side of Normandale Boulevard.

Sharlin discussed the various alternatives for this area including land use and circulation. He emphasized three things relative to the plan for year 2030 and indicated that there will be a lot of changes between now and 2030. Sharlin said it is important to continue to maintain a timely timeframe as they review the various concepts. The plan needs to integrate basic concepts. Sharlin reviewed with the Council four land use alternatives for the Normandale Lake area. Of the four alternatives, Planning and Community Development staff are recommending Alternative B with five basic assumptions including the development of the former Seagate building with 418 apartment units; Stanley Road will be extended north from West 82nd Street to American Boulevard; urban design improvements will occur; the Holiday Station and Highland Bank buildings will remain and I-494/TH 100 interchange improvements will result in closing the

**STUDY ITEM #5
(continued)**

northern portion of the frontage road on the east side of Normandale Boulevard. Sharlin said that with all of the proposed alternatives, the five basic assumptions were used. He reviewed with the Council the various alternatives.

Lee asked if the Council is in agreement with staff's thoughts regarding the various alternatives. Peterson said that in looking at the land use and the street overlays, it makes him wonder what the impact would be if they did not extend Stanley Road and if this is something that was discussed. Lee responded that SRF did consider that scenario by connecting the north/south access up with American Boulevard north. The cost to do that was extremely high and would require acquisition of the existing apartment building. They struggled with the overall cost.

Sharlin said the difficult thing with this study is being predictive. There can be a number of things that will change including transportation and technology. What they are saying is that they will try to guide this if there is redevelopment. They want the opportunity to look at it. There may be other aspects that will benefit the area in general. Winstead said that may be the way to do this. The parcels remain intact until the market is ready.

Axtell said he feels that what staff is proposing appears to be logical. He asked if there was any other input received from the outside. Lee said the next step is for staff to meet with the landowners. Axtell said it is important that they understand that the City is not doing anything that would devalue or limit the use or equity of their property and that their parcels will be left intact.

Lee reviewed with the Council the schedule for completion of the study. He indicated that the Council had split the review into two parts; streets and transportation and land use. The discussion tonight includes streets and transportation. Lee said they would now gather public input and Commission input for City Council review on November 19. The remaining portion of the study would be presented to the City Council on February 4. He pointed out that the primary reason property owners are not willing to complete their assessment agreement or pay for their part is because a Transportation Plan has not been adopted.

Elkins said if this plan materializes, the way it is laid out they would lose a big chunk of affordable housing. He asked if there has been any thought given to replacing this. Lee responded that the question about affordable housing is a study item on its own. Elkins pointed out that somewhere along the line they will have to address that. There are apartments in the City that are ending their useful life.

The Council acknowledge the alternates that staff looked at and encouraged staff to meet with the owners and other interested parties to keep developing land use alternatives. With regard to land use on the west side of Normandale, the City Council would welcome changing some of the future offices to hotel or residential but would not mandate it.

**STUDY ITEM #6
ID Theft Exploration**

Sandy Johnson, Associate Attorney from the City Attorney's Office, reported that Police and Legal have been collaborating on a draft ordinance that would require persons receiving financial transaction cards (credit cards and debit cards) and checks for goods and services to verify valid identification before completing the transactions. Johnson reviewed with the Council the basic concept of the proposed ordinance explaining that this is an attempt to curb financial transaction card fraud and related forms of identity theft. The proposed ordinance has not been presented to the public and should the Council decide to move forward, staff would conduct administrative hearings for public input.

Bernhardson asked if there is a contractual obligation between the merchants and the card companies regarding requiring identification. Johnson explained that Visa has in their contract that the merchant is prohibited from demanding or refusing a customer's transaction if the customer refuses to provide photo identification. However, contracts are always subject to change. The Bloomington ordinance would trump Visa's provision.

**STUDY ITEM #6
(continued)**

Grady said she feels this ordinance is too stringent to be a City ordinance and it appears that Bloomington is too small of an entity to require stores in Bloomington to follow this requirement. Grady said she believes this should be required by the State and not by an individual city. Johnson explained that the individual stores will have it as their policy. The current card companies do tell the merchants to request identification but not to refuse the transaction if the customer refuses to provide identification. The State will not go there because of the influence of the credit card companies. Winstead asked if it is practical to do something like this at the city level. Bernhardson questioned if they should start locally with an ordinance or try to build local support from other communities to see if they can get something at the State level.

Detective Mike Hartley introduced himself and Detective Cory Cardenas and explained that while they were working on case after case involving theft of credit cards they felt there had to be some way to curb this activity. He stated that the reason for the high number of cases is because the credit card companies are willing to foot the bill for the losses. There are approximately 470 thefts of purses and wallets in the City and the thefts are primarily of checks and credit cards. Other cities are also interested in this ordinance and once they have something on paper, this would be a sample of what other cities would use. Hartley further explained that Bloomington is in a position to enact such an ordinance because of the amount of retail in the City and to tell people that this is a consumer protection law that can take away the ability for someone to commit this crime. Hartley said this evening they are asking for the Council's feedback regarding this proposed ordinance.

Peterson said that one of his concerns with the proposed ordinance is that it will make Bloomington an island and if they adopt it and people find it difficult to shop in Bloomington they will go to Richfield. He said he would like more information on what percentage of losses would actually be covered by this ordinance. Also, he would like the definition under financial transaction card and asked if anyone using a gift card would be required to show identification. Peterson pointed out that there are unattended locations such as gas dispensers where credit cards are used including self-checkouts and on-line service purchases. He questioned how this ordinance would apply to those. Not everyone has an identification card so this may also be a problem. Peterson asked if a temporary driver's license would be considered valid identification and he is not clear on how the \$100 threshold works and if someone orders something over the telephone and has another person pick up that purchase. Johnson responded that the definition of a financial transaction card was taken verbatim from State law. Self-checkouts with \$100 transaction limits can be listed but most self-checkouts do have attendants. Once a customer reaches the \$100 limit, they should verify who they are. Any direct sale such as web or telephone purchases would be exempt along with utility on-line purchases, etc. Johnson pointed out that anyone can get a State identification card and those would qualify as well along with other government issued identification cards and passports. Johnson pointed out that the tangible impact of this ordinance would be less cases for Bloomington's detectives and fewer "smash and grabs" of purses.

Johnson explained that the proposed ordinance is a draft. The draft was prepared as a starting point for discussion. If staff is authorized to proceed with the ordinance, they will put it out to the public community for comment.

Grady said she feels that retailers are moving to a much more cash-less system and people are using cards rather than cash. Often customers forget their identification and if the merchants don't care since they will eventually get paid they may not want this extra step of having to check identification cards. She asked what the advantage would be to the merchant. Hartley responded that even though the consumer has the hassle of having to present identification there is a trade off. When their purse is stolen they are ultimately paying higher user fees and interest rates. Grady said she is unsure as to what the merchants' incentive would be to enforce this ordinance. Hartley said the incentive would be that it would be illegal to not check identification. It is awareness for consumer protection.

**STUDY ITEM #6
(continued)**

Axtell said he feels it makes sense but is concerned that it shifts the burden of liability and it may make the point of sale more expensive.

Winstead asked what the next step of the process would be should the Council direct staff to move forward with the ordinance. Johnson said they would propose an expansive vetting program to get the community discussing it and engaging the City Council. They would also keep the local legislators in the loop.

Bernhardson indicated that there have been a number of issues raised this evening and some of those issues can be addressed while others will need to be worked through. He asked if the Council would like to go out with something that addresses their concerns to start marketing this ordinance locally and be the first city in the country to undertake this endeavor or should they contact their Legislature, Attorney General Office and others to see if they are willing to do this on a state-wide basis.

Axtell said it would be interesting to see the feedback from the City's retailers. Winstead pointed out that one of the issues is that 457 purses were stolen and he would like to see the correlation between those being stolen and the impact this ordinance would have on those cases. Winstead said he feels this information is important for justifying the ordinance.

Hartley said they are not suggesting that everyone who steals in Bloomington uses the stolen credit cards in Bloomington. They have no way of knowing how many detectives come into Bloomington to investigate credit card fraud. It is difficult to pull up statistics on crime statistics. Hartley said he is sure other communities will adopt a similar ordinance once Bloomington adopts it.

Wilcox said that when the Council passed the smoking ban a lot of people went to other communities. If they have people living in Bloomington shopping somewhere else to use stolen credit cards more power to them. With the Mall of America and other retail in the City, they have more cards being used here than stolen from Bloomington. Nordstrom said she would not mind having to show an identification card and thinks this is something they should do.

Peterson explained that he has some concerns with the penalty. He has always felt that when they have smoking and liquor violations, it is usually on the cashiering side. They will have to look at thousands of ID's and he questioned the practicality of their ability to train 10,000 to 15,000 people.

Axtell said he does not like to see 16-year old cashiers being charged for not checking an ID but if the sign says they have to check an ID for a purchase over \$100 that would be a deterrent.

Peterson pointed out that at the local level, he does not feel there is a practical enough impact to the people in the City to make it meaningful. Wilcox suggested that they take this to the state level. Bernhardson suggested that based on this evening's discussion, staff rework the ordinance and move away from the ordinance format and go to the local retailers to receive their feedback on such a proposal. Based on that feedback, they will develop a system for implementing a plan. They can then take that information to the Attorney General's Office to see if the state would be interested in such a proposal. Once they have determined whether or not there is any interest from the state, county or other cities, they will bring it back to the local level.

**STUDY ITEM #7
Council Calendar 2008**

The Council reviewed the draft 2008 Council Calendar changing the March 31 meeting date to March 24

OTHER

Bernhardson asked the Council to be available for a 5:30 p.m. meeting on Monday, September 24 to review Penn Avenue and American Boulevard information. He also indicated that the scheduled October 23 Strategic Planning meeting needed to be rescheduled. Bernhardson said he would be contacting the Council to find out what dates they would be available.

Adjourn Meeting

Mayor Winstead adjourned the meeting at 11:10 p.m.

Carol Pelzel
Acting Council Secretary